	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	10/647,565		WYNN, GERALD M.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jermie Co	zart	3726	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>				
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> . (3)				
(2) <u>Dana Bigelow</u> . (4)				
Date of Interview: <u>25 April 2005</u> Time: <u>9:43AM</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 9,11, and 13				
Prior art documents discussed: none		· •		
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: During a voicemail message left by Dana Bigelow, Mr. Bigelow agreed to amend the title and claims by examiner's amendment in order to correct minor defects and to place the application in condition for allowance.				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant's	Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)